## Approved For Release 2000/08/30 : CIAFLE 0R01443R000300170013-8

NSC BRIEFING

7 December 1954

## COMMUNIST REACTION TO TAIPEI PACT

- I. Chinese Communist propaganda is furious in denouncing US-Nationalist security pact.
  - A. Little doubt that Peiping is genuinely opposed to this formalization of existing US commitment to defend Formosa and Pescadores.
  - B. However, Peiping was not surprised by 1 December announcement that negotiations on pact completed.
  - C. For weeks Peiping had been decrying projected pact in same terms used to condemn it since 1 December.
- II. Main lines of Peiping's attack on pact, before and after 1 December, assert that:
  - A. US plans prolong its "occupation" of Formosa indefinitely.
  - B. US intends prevent "Chinese people" from
     "liberating" their own territory, which means
     "direct military interference" in Chinese internal
     affairs. (Moscow has echoed this line.)
  - C. Treaty is a "war treaty," a "war provocation," one of many US "acts of war" against Peiping.
  - D. Pact indicates US preparations for aggression against Chinese mainland. (Peiping refuses admit that pact is purely defensive.)



- E. Pact will "increase tension" in Far East, threaten peace and security of area.
- III. Peiping has emphasized US "responsibility" for pact's "serious consequences."
  - A. Strongest statement before 1 December was assertion--at World Peace Congress--that US would be responsible "should an overall conflict in Far East be provoked" by this type of "interference."
  - B. Since then, strongest statement is Chou's assertion (5 December) that pact presents "danger of a new war."
- IV. These threats are coupled with emphatic reaffirmations Peiping's intent to "liberate" Formosa regardless of US.
  - A. Chou echoed many other spokesmen when he said
    (5 December) Peiping is "determined to liberate"
    Formosa.
  - B. Moscow has repeated such Chinese statements.
  - C. However, both parties careful not to set any deadline for "liberation."
  - D. Peiping evidently believes that extended period of preparation is necessary before operation can be launched.
- E. Thus we do not expect Chinese Communists will attempt to invade Formosa this winter, although Approved For Release 2000/08/30 declared RDRSGR01443R000300170013-8

- V. Peiping probably disappointed by treaty's failure to clarify specific US intentions toward offshore islands.
  - A. Chinese Communists remain capable of taking any of these islands against Nationalists alone.
  - B. Current Communist program seems one of harassment, aimed at inducing Nationalists to withdraw.
  - C. If this unsuccessful, strong chance that Communists will risk invasion of one of major island groups next year.
- VI. In meantime, Peiping is clearly attempting to isolate
  US on issue of support for Nationalist China.
  - A. Comment on pact has appealed to "all countries" to be alert both to US efforts to "torpedo peace" and to US preparations for "new aggressive wars."
  - B. In this connection, Peiping has been bitter about British "attitude of support" for US position.
  - C. Peiping's policy toward Formosa next year may well depend on results of such attempts to isolate US.
  - D. Should Communists feel US isolated, they would be much more likely to risk invasion attempt.
  - E. In sum, Formosa situation remains explosive and must be continually reassessed.